Occurrence of ESBL-Producing Enterobacteriaceae in Red Meat Samples

Nilüfer ÖNDEŞ¹ Haydar ÖZPINAR²

¹ İstanbul Aydın University, Department of Food Safety, TR-34295 İstanbul - TURKEY

² İstanbul Aydın University, Department of Food Engineering, TR-34295 İstanbul - TURKEY

Article Code: KVFD-2015-13944 Received: 30.06.2015 Accepted: 29.09.2015 Published Online: 01.10.2015

Abstract

Extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae is becoming a worldwide concern for the public health. They can adversely affect the treatment based on modern beta-lactam antibiotics against bacterial infections as well as possibly becoming a source for the spread of ESBL-encoding genes among different and/or the same bacterial species. The objective of this study was to determine the occurrence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae from a total of 110 red meat samples sold in Istanbul. The samples were initially homogenized in Enterobacteriaceae broth. Subsequently, the homogenized suspensions were exposed to pre-enrichment at 37° C for 18-24 h. After that, Chromogenic ESBL agar was used for selective enrichment at 37° C for 18-24 h again. The presumptive ESBL-producers were sub-cultured on Trypton Soy agar, followed by an overnight incubation at 37° C. The isolates were identified by Vitek*MS (bioMérieux). Finally, the identified isolates were subjected to agar disc diffusion testing, disc diffusion confirmation testing and MIC determination testing using a combination of cefotaxime (CTX), ceftazidime (CAZ), and cefpodoxime (CPD) discs \pm clavulanic acid (CV) according to the guidelines by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. The results revealed that a total of 23 isolates were confirmed to be positive for ESBL-production. Of 23 isolates, the most common species was determined as *E. coli* (30%), followed by *C. brakii* (22%), *E. cloacae* (17%), *K. pneumoniae* (9%), *C. freundii* (9%), *S. fonticola* (4%), *K. intermedia* (4%), and *M. wisconsensis* (4%). In conclusion, the results of this study provided that the red meat samples harbored ESBL-producers.

Keywords: Antibiotic resistance, Enterobacteriaceae, ESBL, Red meat, Public health

Kırmızı Etlerde GSBL-Üreten Enterobacteriaceae Suşlarının Varlıkları

Özet

Genişlemiş spektrumlu beta-laktamaz (GSBL)-üreten Enterobacteriaceae tüm Dünya'da halk sağlığı açısından ciddi endişelere yol açmaktadır. GSBL-üreten bakteriler, bakteriyel infeksiyonlara karşı kullanılan modern beta-laktam antibiyotikleri işlevselliklerini olumsuz şekilde etkilemekte ve aynı zamanda farklı ve/veya türdeş bakteri türleri arasında direnç kodlayan genlerin yayılmalarında rol oynamaktadırlar. Bu çalışmada, İstanbul ilinde satışa sunulan toplam 110 adet kırmızı et örneklerinde GSBL-üreten enterobakterlerin tespiti amaçlanmıştır. Örnekler, Enterobacteriaceae buyyonda homojenize edilmişlerdir. Homojenize edilen süspansiyonlar ilk olarak 37°C ve 18-24 saat ön zenginleştirme işlemine, devamında ise kromojen GSBL agarda tekrar 37°C ve 18-24 saat selektif zenginleştirme işlemine alınmışlardır. Şüpheli izolatlar saflaştırma için trypton soy agara ekimi yapılmış ve gece aşırı 37°C'de inkübe edilmişlerdir. İnkübasyon sonunda izolatlar Vitek®MS (bioMérieux) cihazı kullanılarak tiplendirilmiştir. Tiplendirilen şüpheli GSBL-üreten enterobakteri izolatlar Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute talimatları takip edilerek ve sefotaksim (CTX), seftazidim (CAZ) ve sefpodoksim (CPD) ± klavulanik asit (CV) diskleri kullanılarak sırasıyla disk difüzyonu, disk difüzyon doğrulama ve MİK tespiti testlerine alınmışlardır. Sonuçlara göre toplam 23 adet enterobakteri izolatları kesin GSBL pozitif tespit edilmiştir. Aralarında en yaygın tip *E. coli* (%30) olarak belirlenirken, bunu *C. brakii* (%22), *E. cloacae* (%17), *K. pneumoniae* (%9), *C. freundii* (%9), *S. fonticola* (%4), *K. intermedia* (%4) ve *M. wisconsensis* (%4) izlemiştir. Sonuç olarak, kırmızı et örneklerinin GSBL-üreten enterobakteriler içerdikleri ve bu nedenle tüketicilerin GSBL-pozitif türler ile kolonize olmaları bakımından potansiyel risk taşıdıkları görülmüştür.

Anahtar sözcükler: Antibiyotik direnci, Enterobacteriaceae, GSBL, Kırmızı et, Halk sağlığı

iletişim (Correspondence)

- 🕾 +90 212 4441428/Ext: 30001
- haydarozpinar@aydin.edu.tr

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotics are the chemical agents that were first developed in the early 1940 against bacteria-causing infections in humans. The antibiotics have been widely used in both human and veterinary medicine^[1]. However, over- and improper-use of antibiotics have increasingly caused the dissemination of foodborne resistant-bacteria, not only in healthcare and community settings, thereby leading to higher resistant-bacteria-associated morbidity and mortality rates in the recent years. Therefore, the international health authorities are warning about the emergence of antibiotic resistance, including the non-medicinal use of antibiotics in the food animals^[2].

Antimicrobial resistance is mainly caused by mechanisms of resistance. Within them, beta-lactam antibiotics are enzymatically inactivated by the specific enzymes, which are encoded by specific plasmid-mediated genetic materials. Especially, extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) are widely produced by the bacteria belonging to the family of Enterobacteriaceae. To date, more than 400 beta-lactamases were identified. ESBL-encoding genes can be transferred between the different and/or the same species through their plasmid-mediated characteristics ^[3]. Therefore, unpredictable and uncontrollable dissemination of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae, including *E. coli, K. pneumoniae, Citrobacter* spp., *Salmonella* spp., and *Enterobacter* spp. are adversely affecting the human health ^[4-6].

Antibiotics are also widely used in the veterinary medicine, especially in the food animals for therapeutic purposes, not only in clinical and community settings ^[7,8]. The recent studies have showed that the foods of animal origin contain ESBL-producing enterobacteria and their ESBL-encoding *bla*-genes transferable to the human's intestinal microflora [9-11]. Except for the controlled therapeutic purposes, the antibiotics are, therefore, banned as growth promoters used in the farm animals by European Union, including Turkey. Also, the researchers are challenging on alternative antibiotic-replacers ^[12]. Probiotics and prebiotics, which are naturally occurring species and substances have been used for prevention of emerging resistant bacteria ^[7,8]. The leading international health and food organizations such as WHO and FAO are enhancing the surveillance programs for monitoring food, clinical, and community-related antibiotic resistance all over the ^[10,11].

The red meat is an important source in the human nutrition. It contains essential nutrients such as proteins and fatty acids, including minerals and vitamins ^[13]. For the human nutrition, slaughtering of the farm animals under unhygienic conditions threats the human health if contaminated with Enterobacteriaceae strains, especially with ESBL-producing enterobacteria. The recent studies have indicated that the red meat and the red-meatderived foods may possibly be reservoirs for ESBLproducing Enterobacteriaceae, and their ESBL-encoding genetic elements ^[9-11].

The objective of this study was to determine the occurrence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae from a total of 110 red meat samples sold in İstanbul.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Sampling

A total of 110 red meat samples were randomly collected from butchers, supermarkets and slaughter-houses located in İstanbul from October 2014 to December 2014.

Reference Strains

ESBL-positive *K. pneumoniae* ATCC[®]700603 and ESBLnegative *E. coli* ATCC[®]25922 were used for control purpose in ESBL-screening testing.

Microbiological Evaluation of Samples

25 g of sample was added in 225 ml of Enterobacteriaceae Enrichment Broth (LABM, England). The mixture was homogenized in a sterile blender-bag (Interscience, France) for 2 min by stomacher (EasyMix, France), and exposed to aerobic incubation at 37°C for 18-24 h^[11]. After that, 10 µl of the pre-enriched suspension was inoculated to Chromatic ESBL agar (Liofilchem, Italy). The inoculated plates were incubated again at 37°C for 18-24 h under aerobic conditions [14]. After that, the colonies were selected according to the manufacturer's instructions by a sterile loop, i.e. the blue-green one as Klebsiella spp., redpink-purple one as E. coli, and white-yellowish colony as Proteus spp. The selected presumptive ESBL-producing colonies were subcultured on Tryptone Soy Agar (LABM), followed by an overnight incubation at 37°C ^[14]. The subcultures were identified by a mass spectrometer (Vitek[®]MS, bioMérieux, France).

Screening and Confirmation of ESBLs

Disc Diffusion Testing: The suspected ESBL-producers were subjected to disc diffusion testing according to the guidelines by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) ^[15]. The isolate was spiked in a sterile saline physiological solution to get a density expressed as 0.5 McFarland standard. Using a cotton swap, it was spreaded over Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) (Merck, Germany) ^[15,16]. The ESBL screening was performed by cefotaxime (CTX; 30 μ g), ceftazidime (CAZ; 30 μ g), and cefpodoxime (CPD; 10 μ g) discs (TurkLab, Turkey). The disc inserted plates were then incubated at 37°C for 18-24 h. After that, the zone measurements were taken by a milimetric ruler. The breakpoints with zone diameters were evaluated

according to the criteria by CLSI (2013), so that CTX \leq 22 mm, CAZ \leq 17 mm, and CPD \leq 17 mm were considered to be positive for ESBL-production ^[15].

Disc Diffusion Confirmation Test: A combined combination of CTX, CAZ, and CPD \pm clavulanic acid (CV; 10 µg) (Turklab, Turkey; Alkim, Turkey) was used for the confirmation of disc diffusion testing. The disc-inserted plates were incubated at 37°C for 18-24 h. The zones of inhibition was evaluated according to the criteria by CLSI (2013) ^[16,17]. A difference of \geq 5 mm between the zone measurements of the identical discs \pm CV were considered to be ESBL-producing species ^[15,17,18].

Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Determination

The MIC values of the previously conducted disc diffusion testing were finally obtained using Micronaut-S beta-lactamase VII kit (Merlin Diagnostika, Germany). A 50 μ l of 0.5 McFarland suspension of the isolate was spiked in 10 ml of Mueller Hinton Broth (Merck, Germany), vortexed for a couple of seconds, and 100 μ l of this suspension was inoculated into the plate. Following that, the inoculated plate was allowed for an incubation overnight at 37°C. A Thermofischer Multiskan FC Spectrometer was used for readings. MIC analysis was automatically conducted by MCN6 Software (Sifin, Germany).

RESULTS

This study revealed that a total of 23 ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae was detected in a total of 110 red meat samples. The most common ESBL-producing species was determined to be *E. coli* (30%), followed by *C. brakii* (22%), *E. cloacae* (17%), *K. pneumoniae* (9%), *C. freundii* (9%), *S. fonticola* (4%), *K. intermedia* (4%), and *M. wisconsensis* (4%). All the ESBL-combined-disc screening and MIC confirmatory results were presented in *Table 1* and *Table 2*.

Table 1. ESBL-screening results Tablo 1. GSBL tarama sonuçları									
Type of Isolate	No of ESBL (+) Isolates								
E. coli	7								
C. braakii	5								
E. cloacae	4								
K. pneumoniae	2								
C. freundii	2								
S. fonticola	1								
K. intermedia	1								
M. wisconsensis	1								
Total	23								

DISCUSSION

Extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae is becoming a worldwide concern for the public health. The different profiles of antibiotic resistance are arised from use of diverse antibiotics ^[19]. They may adversely affect the treatment based on modern beta-lactam antibiotics against bacterial infections and possibly becoming possibly a source for spread of ESBLencoding genes among the different and/or the same species. However, epidemiological data related to this biohazard situation are very limited in different geographical regions, including in Turkey. The Standart Commitee of European Doctors and the Federation of Veterinarians of Europe issued a common press release for keeping all the authorities on fighting against ESBLproducing Enterobacteriaceae in 2014. This study was, therefore, the first reporting from Turkey based on the methods used for determination of ESBL-producing enterobacteria occurring from red meats.

The foods sold in Turkey had widely poor microbial quality and represented a potential health risk to customers ^[20]. Especially, foodborne ESBL bacteria has not been well-examined in various foods from Turkey. Most of the studies related to this field have been limited to the clinical isolates and veterinary medicine. The food-related works were restricted with screening of ESBL-producing bacteria based on only standard disc-approximation testing, including a diverse combination of antibiotics. On the other hand, disc diffusion confirmation testing and MIC testing were not simultaneously conducted, and not supported by auto-analyzers such as Vitek[®] MS and BD Phoenix instruments.

In Turkey, a study showed that 22.3% of the red meats were positive for ESBL-producing *E. coli, C. youngae, E. cloacae* ^[21], another study determined 26.3% of the red meat samples harbored *E. coli, K. pneumoniae, K. oxytoca* ^[22], and the analyzed red meat samples mostly contained ESBL-producing *E. coli* in concordance with our study and other regional findings ^[23]. The transfer of ESBL-encoding genetic elements between resistant Enterobacteriaceae and non-resistant strains was proved in both animal and human intestinal tractus ^[24]. All these studies showed that discapproximation testing was a golden method in detection of resistant bacteria ^[25]. However, they cannot be used for all species of Enterobacter ^[26,27].

In this study, ESBL-screening was performed by disc diffusion testing, combined-disc diffusion testing, and disc diffusion confirmation based on MIC determination, including auto-identification of the isolates by mass spectrometer. Our results showed that 60 pre-assumptive isolates were initially determined to be positive for ESBLproduction. However, MIC analysis revealed that only 23 (38.3%) of the 60 presumptive isolates were actually real

	, Disk tarama ve MİK . Type of Isolate	Zone Diameter (mm)					MIC (µg/ml)							
lsolate no		CAZ	CAZ CV	стх	CTX CV	CPD	CPD CV	CAZ	CAZ Reference	CAZ Actual	стх	CTX Reference	CTX Actual	Result ESBL +/·
1	E. cloacae	22	26	23	26	16	17	?	-	=8/4	?	-	=8/4	+
2	S. fonticola	26	27	27	28	20	23	S	<=1	<=0.25/4	R	=128	<=0.25/4	+
3	E. coli	18	25	13	28	0	21	S	32	≤0.25/4	R	>128	≤0.25/4	+
4	K. pneumoniae	21	30	15	31	13	21	R	16	=4/4	R	128	=4/4	+
5	M. wisconsensis	19	28	22	32	14	21	R	128	-	R	32	=0.5/4	+
6	C. freundii	24	25	24	25	16	20	S	2	1	R	16	=8/4	+
7	C. braakii	22	22	15	25	16	20	R	64	<=0.25/4	R	128	=16/4	+
8	K. intermedia	21	22	20	22	18	20	S	<=1	<=0.25/4	S	<=1	<=0.25/4	+
9	E. coli	15	27	10	28	10	16	R	128	<=0.25/4	R	>128	<=0.25/4	+
10	E. coli	11	29	25	27	10	13	R	128	<=0.25/4	S	<=1	<=0.25/4	+
11	E. coli	12	18	10	19	7	9	R	=64	=2/2	R	>128	=4/4	+
12	C. freundii	18	24	19	22	14	16	R	=64	=8/4	R	=32	=4/4	+
13	E. cloacae	20	12	10	9	-	-	S	=4	>32/4	R	=32	>8/4	+
14	C. braakii	9	18	17	24	14	16	R	>128	=8/4	R	=128	=8/4	+
15	C. braakii	22	14	25	26	16	18	R	=64	=8/4	R	=4	=1/4	+
16	K. pneumoniae	16	25	10	24	7	9	R	=32	-	R	>128	=4/4	+
17	C. braakii	23	31	10	28	13	16	R	=16	=2/4	R	>128	=8/4	+
18	E. cloacae	21	26	21	27	18	19	R	=128	=4/4	R	=128	>32/4	+
19	E. coli	15	25	9	25	7	10	R	>128	=0.5/4	R	>128	=0.5/4	+
20	E. cloacae	16	22	16	22	6	9	R	=64	-	R	>128	-	+
21	E. coli	19	14	27	23	17	19	R	=64	=16/4	R	32	=8/4	+
22	E. coli	22	31	21	24	17	18	R	=128	=32/4	R	=64	=4/4	+
23	C. braakii	20	27	25	31	18	20	R	=128	<=0.25/4	R	=64	-	+

ESBL-producers. Therefore, we concluded that there was not still a common agreement on which confirmatory test was the most sensitive. Fast and accurate detection of ESBLproducers are, therefore, important for epidemiological surveillance and infection control of ESBL-producing enterobacteria.

A study in Spain reported that the frequency rate of ESBL-producing bacteria was 25% in the beef samples, confirmed ESBL-producing *E. coli* as the most common species within the examined beef samples ^[28]. Also, some further studies mainly focused on understanding the dissemination ways of ESBL-producing bacteria from numerous sources by epidemiological research ^[24,25,29]. The epidemiological studies have been arised due to spreadable and transferable properties of ESBL-encoding genetic elements among the same and/or different bacterial species through a diverse of mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. That's why, the studies should be extended for further genotypic testing.

The red meat and red meat derived-products should be supplied to the customers under hygienic conditions because of their importance for the human nutrition as well as including food safety concerns. To date, the number of the studies related to the occurrence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae are still limited worldwide, including in Turkey.

In conclusion, the results of this study indicated that the red meat samples harbored ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae, and they may hold a potential risk for the colonization of the consumers with ESBL-producers. Therefore, antibiotic use in the veterinary medicine should be intensively monitored.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Ammor MS, Florez AB, Mayo B: Antibiotic resistance in non-Enterococcal lactic acid bacteria and Bifidobacteria. *Food Microbiol*, 24, 559-570, 2007. DOI: 10.1016/j.fm.2006.11.001

2. Mathur S, Singh R: Antibiotic resistance in food lactic acid bacteria - A review. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 105, 281-295, 2005. DOI: 10.1016/j. ijfoodmicro.2005.03.008

3. Vural HC, Akçin A: Investigation of "Contagious Type Antibiotic Resistance Properties" Related with R Plasmids in Escherichia coli Strains Isolated from İzmit Gulfs (Turkey). *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 17 (Suppl A): 23-30, 2011. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2010.3040

4. Çelebi S, Yüce N, Çakır D, Hacımustafaoğlu M, Özkaya G: Çocuklarda genişlemiş spektrumlu β-laktamaz üreten *E. coli* enfeksiyonlarında risk faktörleri ve klinik sonuçları; beş yıllık çalışma. *J Pediatr Inf*, 3, 5-10, 2009.

5. Yetkin G, Kuzucu Ç, Çalışkan A, Ay S: Kan kültürlerinde üreyen *Escherichia coli*'lerin antibiyotik duyarlılıkları, GSBL oranları ve hastane birimlerine göre dağılımı. İnönü Üniv Tıp Fak Derg, 13, 147-150, 2006.

6. Güler Ö, Aktaş O, Uslu H: Klinik örneklerden izole edilen bakterilerde beta-laktamaz varlığının ve çeşitli antibiyotik gruplarına karşı duyarlılıklarının araştırılması. *Ankem Derg*, 22, 72-80, 2008.

7. Gyles CL: Antimicrobial resistance in selected bacteria from poultry. *Anim Health Res Rev*, 9, 149-158, 2008. DOI: 10.1017/S1466252308001552

8. Hendriksen RS, Mevius DJ, Schroeter A, Teale C, Meunier D, Butaye P, Franco A, Utinane A, Amado A, Moreno M, Greko C, Stärk K, Berghold C, Myllyniemi AL, Wasyl D, Sunde M, Aarestrup FM: Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among bacterial pathogens isolated from cattle in different European countries: 2002-2004. Acta Vet Scand, 50, 28, 2008. DOI: 10.1186/1751-0147-50-28

9. Jensen LB, Hammerum AM, Poulsen RL, Westh H: Vancomycinresistant *Enterococcus faecium* strains with highly similar pulsed field gel electrophoresis patterns containing similar Tn-1546-like elements isolated from a hospitalized patient and pigs in Denmark. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 43, 724-729, 1999.

10. Harada K, Asai T: Role of antimicrobial selective pressure and secondary factors on antimicrobial resistance prevalence in *Escherichia coli* from food-producing animals in Japan. *J Biomed Biotechnol*, 2010, 2010. DOI: 10.1155/2010/180682

11. De Jong A, Stephan B, Silley P: Fluoroquinolone resistance of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* from healthy livestock and poultry in the EU. *J Appl Microbiol*, 112, 239-245, 2011. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05193.x

12. Özpınar H, Aydın İH, Klasing KC, Tekiner İH: Interaction of mannan oligosaccharide with immune system "transport of MOS in to the lamina propria". *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 18, 121-128, 2012. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2011.4539

13. Özpınar H, Tezmen G, Gökçe İ, Tekiner İH: Detection of animal species in some meat and meat products by comparatively using DNA microarray and real time PCR methods. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 19, 245-252, 2013. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2012.7616

14. Dogru A, Sargin F, Celik M, Sagiroglu AE, Goksel MM, Sayhan H: The rate of device-associated nosocomial infections in a medical surgical intensive care unit of a training and research hospital in Turkey: One-year outcomes. *Jpn J Infect Dis*, 63, 95-98, 2010. **15. CLSI:** Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, Twenty-Third Informational Supplement, CLSI Document M100-S23, 2013.

16. Coque TM, Oliver A, Pérez-Díaz JC, Baquero F, Cantón R: Genes encoding TEM-4, SHV-2, and CTX-M-10 extended-spectrum beta-lactamases are carried by multiple *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clones in a single hospital (Madrid, 1989 to 2000). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 46 (2): 500-510, 2002.

17. Jarlier V, Nicolas MH, Fournier G: Extended broad-spectrum β -lactamases confering transferable resistance to newer b-lactam agents in *Enterobacteriaceae*: Hospital prevalence and susceptibility patterns. *Rev Infect Dis*, 10, 867-878, 1988.

18. Geser N, Stephan R, Hächler H: Occurrence and characteristics of extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) producing Enterobacteriaceae in food producing animals, minced meat and raw milk. *BMC Vet Res*, 8, 21, 2012. DOI: 10.1186/1746-6148-8-21

19. Aşkar Ş, Sakarya F, Yıldırım M: The potential risk in epizootiology of bacterial zoonozis: Pigeon (*Columba livia domestica*) feces. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 17 (Suppl A): S13-S16, 2011. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2010.2802

20. Özpınar H, Turan B, Tekiner İH, Tezmen G, Gökçe İ, Akıneden Ö: Evaluation of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* occurrence in vegetable samples from district bazaars of İstanbul using real time PCR. *Lett Appl Microbiol*, 57, 362-367, 2013. DOI: 10.1111/lam.12122

21. Gundogan N, Avci E: Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* species isolated from foods of animal origin in Turkey. *Afr J Microbiol Res*, 7, 4059-4064, 2013. DOI: 10.5897/AJMR12.943

22. Arslan S, Eyi A: Antimicrobial resistance and ESBL prevalance in *Escherichia coli* from retail meats. *J Food Safety*, 31, 262-267, 2011. DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-4565.2010.00295.x

23. Guerra B, Junker E, Schroeter A, Malorny B, Lehmann S, Helmuth R: Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of antimicrobial resistance in German *Escherichia coli* isolates from cattle, swine and poultry. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 52, 489-492, 2003. DOI: 10.1093/jac/dkg362

24. Knothe H, Shah P, Krcmery V, Antal M, Mitsuhashi S: Transferable resistance to cefotaxime, cefoxitin, cefamandole and cefuroxime in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Serratia marcescens*. *Infection*, 11, 315-317, 1983. DOI: 10.1007/BF01641355

25. Yıldırım Y: Antimikrobiyel duyarlılık testleri: İlgili metodlar, sonuçların yorumlanması ve kanatlılarda bulunan bazı bakterilerdeki dirençlilik. *Erciyes Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 7 (2): 117-129, 2010.

26. Dumen E: Cronobacter sakazakii (Enterobacter sakazakii): Only an infant problem? Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg, 16 (Suppl-A): S171-S178, 2010. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2010.1949

27. Kurtoğlu MG, Opus A, Özdemir M, Baysal B: Isolation of Citrobacters in various infections and their antimicrobial sensitivity rates. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 17 (Suppl-A): S99-S104, 2011. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2010.3547

28. Saenz Y, Zarazaga M, Brinas L, Lantero M, Ruiz-Larrea F, Torres C: Antibiotic resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolates obtained from animals, foods and humans in Spain. *Int J Antimicrob Ag*, 18, 353-358, 2001. DOI: 10.1016/S0924-8579(01)00422-8

29. Mahmood K, Büyükünal-Bal EB: Transmission of antibiotic resistant Enterobacteriaceae between animals and humans gastrointestinal tract with the evidence of *in vivo* plasmid transfer. *KSU J Nat Sci*, 17, 32-38, 2014.