Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg 28 (5): 543-552, 2022 DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2022.27526

Research Article

The Expression Profile of Some Homeobox Proteins in the Bovine Liver During Prenatal Development

Uğur TOPALOĞLU ^{1,a (*)} Hakan SAĞSÖZ ^{1,b} Mehmet Erdem AKBALIK ^{1,c} Muzaffer Aydın KETANİ ^{1,d} Berna GÜNEY SARUHAN ^{1,e} Nurşin AYDIN ^{1,f}

¹ Dicle University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Histology and Embryology, TR-21280 Diyarbakır - TÜRKİYE ORCIDs: ^a 0000-0002-8306-491X; ^b 0000-0002-5456-697X; ^c 0000-0001-9898-0593; ^d 0000-0002-1546-9747; ^c 0000-0002-5111-5524 ^f 0000-0003-0265-3163

Article ID: KVFD-2022-27526 Received: 05.04.2022 Accepted: 26.08.2022 Published Online: 10.09.2022

Abstract: Homeobox proteins play critical roles in controlling processes such as morphogenesis and organogenesis in many organisms. Some of these proteins are known to affect the formation, development and regeneration of the liver. In this context, the present study was aimed at demonstrating the localization and expression intensity of some homeobox proteins in the bovine fetal liver during the different stages of gestation, determining whether or not these proteins are found in the structural components of the liver, and identifying their potential physiological roles. The study material comprised of 27 clinically healthy bovine fetuses, which were obtained from slaughterhouses and belonged to different stages of gestation. The fetuses were grouped according to their crown-rump length (CRL) measurements. Liver samples were taken from each study group and subjected to routine histological processing, followed by immunohistochemical staining. The staining results showed that, throughout gestation, the expression intensities of the homeobox proteins HOXA10, HOXA11, HOXB6, TLX1, Dlx-5 and HLX were stronger in the hepatocytes, compared to the hepatic artery, vena interlobularis and bile ducts. However, the expression intensity of HLX was determined to have significantly decreased during the second and third trimesters of gestation, compared to the first trimester. In conclusion, the expression of the investigated homeobox proteins at differing and similar levels in the hepatocytes, hepatic artery, vena interlobularis and bile ducts of the bovine fetal liver during gestation could be interpreted as an important indicator of these proteins being involved in the development and physiological activity of the fetal liver.

Keywords: Bovine, Fetus, Hepatocytes, Homeobox proteins, Liver

Prenetal Gelişim Süresince Sığır Karaciğerindeki Bazı Homeobox Proteinlerinin Ekspresyonu

Öz: Homeobox proteinleri, birçok organizmada morfogenezis ve organogenezis gibi süreçlerin kontrol edilmesinde kritik roller üstlenmektedir. Bu proteinlerin bir kısmının karaciğerin oluşumu, gelişimi ve rejenerasyonuna da etki ettiği bilinmektedir. Bu nedenle çalışmamız; Homeobox proteinlerinin sığır fötal karaciğerinde gebeliğin farklı dönemlerinde bazı homeobox proteinlerinin lokalizasyonu ve ekspresyon yoğunluğunu göstermek, karaciğerin yapısal bileşenlerine katılıp katılmadığını ve olası fizyolojik rollerini belirlemek amacı ile yapılmıştır. Çalışmada kesimhanelerden temin edilen gebeliğin farklı dönemlerine ait ve klinik olarak sağlıklı 27 adet fetüs kullanıldı. Kullanılan fetüslerin gruplandırılması da alın-sağrı uzunluğu (Crown-Rump Lenght; CRL) ölçümüne göre yapıldı. Belirlenen her gruptan alınan karaciğer örnekleri rutin histolojik prosedürlerinden geçirilerek immunohistokimya boyamasına tabi tutuldu. Boyama sonucunda gebelik dönemlerine göre karaciğer hepatositleri, arteria hepatika, vena interlobularis ve ductus biliferilerdeki HOXA10, HOXA11, HOXB6, TLX1, Dlx-5 ve HLX ekspresyon yoğunlukları karşılaştırıldığında gebelik süresince hepatositlerdeki reaksiyonun diğerlerine oranla daha güçlü olduğu görüldü. Ancak, HLX ekspresyon yoğunluğunun gebeliğin 2. ve 3. trimesterlarında gebeliğin 1. trimesterina göre anlamlı bir şekilde azaldığı belirlendi. Sonuç olarak bazı homeobox proteinlerinin fötal sığır karaciğerindeki hepatositlerde, arteria hepatika, vena interlobularis ve ductus beliferuslarda gebeliğin her döneminde benzer ve farklı düzeylerde ekspresse olması bu proteinlerin fötal karaciğerin gelişiminde ve fizyolojik aktivitesinde rol oynadıklarının önemli bir kanıtı olabilir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Sığır, Fetüs, Hepatositler, Homeobox proteinler, Karaciğer

INTRODUCTION

The liver, which is the largest and most functional visceral organ of the body, develops from the intestinal endoderm

in the mid-third week of embryonic development ^[1,2], and owing to its hematopoietic role, displays a rapid development in the prenatal period, such that it constitutes nearly 10% of the fetal weight by the 10th week of gestation.

How to cite this article?

Topaloğlu U, Sağsöz H, Akbalik ME, Ketani MA, Güney Saruhan B, Aydin N: The expression profile of some homeobox proteins in the bovine liver during prenatal development. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 28 (5): 543-552, 2022. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2022.27526

(*) Corresponding Author

Tel: +90 539 545 7716 Fax: +90 412 241 1047 E-mail: ugur.topaloglu@dicle.edu.tr (U. Topaloğlu)



This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)

The hematopoietic activity of the liver continues until the last two months of the prenatal period, and progressively decreases until parturition, such that only very small hematopoietic islets are observed at the time of birth ^[2,3].

The liver plays a critical role in both viability and some digestive processes. This organ is involved in metabolic processes such as hematopoiesis and blood volume regulation in the embryonic period, as well as in protein synthesis, immunity, the endocrine control of growth signal pathways, and physiological processes such as metabolite deposition, bile secretion and detoxification ^[4,5].

The liver is composed of different types of embryonic cells (hepatocytes, biliary epithelial cells-cholangiocytes, stellate cells, Kupffer cells and hepatic sinusoidal endothelial cells). Each of these different cell types have unique tasks, which complement each other in the functioning of the liver. Hepatocytes, which comprise the primary epithelial cell population of the liver, make up the majority (60%) of the hepatic volume and undertake multiple tasks. Hepatocytes have been demonstrated to be regulatory cells that are critical to nutrient transport as well as fetal growth and development. On the other hand, Kupffer cells are described as resident hepatic macrophages. These cells are capable of responding to pathogenic stimuli carried by the hepatic portal circulation, and depending on a series of contributing factors, may play pro- or anti-inflammatory roles in hepatic wound healing ^[5,6].

Homeobox genes encode the homeodomain proteins, which regulate development, differentiation and morpho-genesis in various organisms, including animals and plants ^[7]. By means of hematopoietic differentiation, tissue-specific homeobox proteins are reported to show effect on cell division, cell development and hepatic regeneration ^[8]. HOX proteins, which are a subunit of the homeobox proteins, are classified under subtypes, which are referred to as HOX/Hox A/a, B/b, C/c and D/d and are localized to different chromosomes, in humans and mice ^[9].

HOXA10, which is a sub-member of the HOX proteins and belongs to cluster A on chromosome 7, plays critical roles in gene expression, morphogenesis, differentiation, fertility, embryonic viability and hematopoietic lineage ^[10]. This particular protein has also been indicated to regulate the proliferation, migration and invasion of cells in various organ and tissue tumors ^[11].

HOXA11 is a transcription factor, which provides certain positional identities to cells and takes part in the regulation of the developmental system. Moreover, HOXA11 has also been reported to regulate uterine development in females, and to be expressed in the thymus, placenta, lungs, prostate and liver ^[12]. HOXB6, similar to other mammalian HOX proteins, serves as a DNA-binding transcription factor ^[13]. Thereby, HOXB6 has been reported to have influence on neurogenesis, renal development and hematopoiesis, as well as on the proliferation and differentiation of multiple cells and tissues ^[13,14]. The physiological functions determined for other members of the homeobox protein family include splenogenesis and the development of certain sensory neurons for TLX1, the development of the forebrain and craniofacial structures, osteogenesis, chondrogenesis, neurogenesis and hematopoiesis for Dlx-5, and the development of visceral organs such as the gallbladder, liver and intestines as well as the differentiation of hematopoietic cells for HLX [15-19]. The primary regulators of hepatic development were identified by the use of rodent, fish and frog models in preliminary research. These regulators include extracellular signal molecules, intracellular signal transduction pathways and transcription factors. While members of the family of transcription factors have been described as being proteins required for hepatic specification, homeobox proteins have been listed among the major regulatory factors of hepatic development ^[20]. In this context, the present study was aimed at i) determining the localization and expression intensity of some homeobox proteins during the development of the liver in the bovine fetus ii) identifying the gestational stage during which the intensity of expression, demonstrated by immunohistochemistry, differs iii) and determining the potential physiological roles of the selected homeobox proteins.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study material comprised of 27 clinically healthy Holstein bovine fetuses without sex differentiation, which belonged to different gestational stages and were obtained from private slaughterhouses. Fetal age was estimated by measuring the crown-rump length (CRL) and using the formula described by Harris et al.^[21]. Following age estimation (Table 1), the fetuses were assigned to one of the three groups established for the different gestational trimesters as follows: the first trimester (days 69-89 of gestation/9 fetuses), the second trimester (days 99-178 of gestation/9 fetuses), and the third trimester (days 190-269 of gestation/9 fetuses). Hepatic tissues samples were taken from the fetuses included in each group. These tissue samples were first fixed in 10% formalin-alcohol solution for 18 h, then dehydrated through a graded series of alcohol, cleared in methyl benzoate and benzene, and embedded in paraffin. Five-micrometer-thick cross-sections were cut from the paraffin blocks. For immunohistochemical staining, these sections were mounted on glass slides coated with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APS) (Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Immunohistochemistry

The serial sections, after being mounted onto adhesive glass slides, underwent immunohistochemical (IHC)

| Table 1. Estimation of fetal age | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----|----|----|----|------|----|------|------|----|------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Parameter | Number of Samples | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
| Fetal crown-rump length (CRL, in cm) | 6 | 7.5 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12.5 | 13 | 13.5 | 14.5 | 18 | 19.5 | 21 | 22.5 | 24 | 28 | 34.5 | 47.5 | 50 | 55 | 58 | 60 | 65 | 66.5 | 70 | 79 | 82 | 87 |
| Fetal age (Day) | 69 | 73 | 75 | 79 | 82 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 90 | 99 | 102 | 106 | 110 | 114 | 123 | 140 | 172 | 178 | 190 | 198 | 202 | 214 | 219 | 227 | 249 | 257 | 269 |

| Table 2. Primary antibodies used for immunohistochemistry (IHC) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Antibodies | Clonality/Isotype | Host | Reactivity | Dilution | Catalog Number | | | | | |
| HOXA10 | Polyclonal/IgG | Rabbit | Human, Mouse | 1/100 | St John's Laboratory, model no: STJ193159 | | | | | |
| HOXA11 | Polyclonal/IgG | Rabbit | Human | 1/100 | Invitrogen, PA5-57341 | | | | | |
| HOXB6 | Polyclonal/IgG | Goat | Human, Mouse, Rat, Dog, Cattle, Pig | 1/100 | St John's Laboratory, model no: STJ73348 | | | | | |
| TLX1 | Polyclonal/IgG | Rabbit | Human, Mouse, Rat | 1/100 | Invitrogen, cat no: PA5-34553 | | | | | |
| Dlx-5 | Polyclonal/IgG | Rabbit | Human, Mouse, Rat | 1/100 | St John's Laboratory, model no: STJ92725 | | | | | |
| HLX | Polyclonal/IgG | Rabbit | Human | 1/100 | Invitrogen, PA5-44857 | | | | | |

staining using the streptavidin-peroxidase procedure. Once dried, the sections were first deparaffinized (2x5 min in xylol), then rehydrated through a graded series of ethanol, and transferred into distilled water. Subsequently, tissue endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by maintaining the sections in 3% H₂O₂ solution in methanol for 20 min followed by 3x5 min washes in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) (pH: 7.4, 0.01 M). Next, the preparations were incubated in citrate buffer solution (pH: 6) at 95°C for 30 min to expose the antigenic regions for antibody binding, and at the end of the incubation period, were left in the same solution until being cooled to room temperature. Subsequently, the sections were incubated in a blocking solution (Ultra V Blok, catalogue number: TA-125-UB, Thermo Scientific) for 15 min to block the nonspecific binding of the primary antibody, and after the discard of the solution, were incubated with the primary antibodies listed in (Table 2) overnight at 4°C. The next day, after being washed 3x5 min in PBS, the sections were incubated with biotinylated secondary antibody (Biotinylated Goat Anti-Polyvalent, catalogue number: TP-125-BN, Thermo Scientific) at room temperature for 20 min. Following another round of 3x5 min washes in PBS, the sections were treated with streptavidin peroxidase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalogue number: TA-125-HR) at room temperature for 20 min. Subsequently, 3.3 diaminobenzidine (DAB Substrate, Thermo Scientific, catalogue number: TA-125-HD) was dropped onto the slides and treatment was allowed for 5-15 min. After being washed in distilled water, nuclear staining was performed with Mayer's hematoxylin for 2 min. Next, the sections were washed under running tap water for 5 min, dehydrated through a graded series of alcohol, cleared in xylol, and finally embedded in Entellan and covered with a coverslip. The accuracy of the immunohistochemical

method applied was demonstrated with the use of positive controls, which comprised of bovine uterine and feline testicular tissue samples. On the other hand, the negative controls comprised of hepatic tissue samples, which were incubated with PBS instead of primary antibody.

Semi-quantitative Assessment

The immunoreactions demonstrated for some homeobox proteins in the hepatic tissue samples were observed at different magnifications (10X, 20X and 40X) under a Nikon Eclipse E400 (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) research microscope equipped with a digital camera (Nikon Coolpix 4500), and were assessed semi-quantitatively for the intensity score. The intensity scores were determined on the basis of the intensity of the positive staining of the cells. All regions of the liver were screened by two independent senior researchers (UT and HS) for the scoring of the immunohistochemical staining. Scoring was performed on a 3-point scale as follows: 0 - negative (no staining observed in the cells at high microscopic magnification), 1 - weak (stained cells observed only at high microscopic magnification), 2 - moderate (stained cells easily observed at low microscopic magnification), 3 - strong (stained cells observed at very low microscopic magnification)^[22]. Semi-quantitative assessment was made for each hepatic portal area components and adjacent hepatocytes (hepatocytes, branch of the hepatic artery, branch of the vena interlobularis and bile ducts).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were made with the SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software package. All values are given in mean \pm standard deviation. Data normality was assessed with the Shapiro-Wilk test. The non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used to analyze any statistically

significant difference in the immunohistochemical staining intensity score for HOXA10, HOXA11, HOXB6, TLX1, Dlx-5 and HLX of the hepatocytes, hepatic artery, vena interlobularis and bile ducts of the bovine fetal liver during the different trimesters of gestation or between these cell and tissue types. Differences between the cell types for the staining intensity score of each antibody were determined with the Mann-Whitney U test. The results are given in mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and statistical significance was set at P<0.05 (*Fig. 1, Fig. 2-k*).

RESULTS

Immunohistochemical staining demonstrated varying intensities of positive reactions for the proteins HOXA10, HOXA11, HOXB6, Dlx-5, TLX1 and HLX in the bovine fetal liver during the different stages of gestation.

Strong immunoreactions were observed for HOXA10, HOXA11 and HOXB6 in the hepatocytes during all three trimesters of gestation (*Fig. 1-a,b,c*). Immunoreactions for HOXA10 in some hepatic arteries were weak any during




Fig 1. Expression of HOXA10, HOXA11 and HOXB6 in fetal bovine liver, in the 1st trimester (73 days) (a, b, c), 2nd trimester (102 days) (d, e, f), 3rd trimester (214 days) (g, h, i), Statistical graph of HOXA10, HOXA11 and HOXB6 staining intensity (k). *Red arrow*: Hepatocytes, Black arrowhead: Lymphocyte, Ah: Arteria hepatica, V: Vena interlobularis, Db: Ductus biliferi. Scale Bar: 25 μm (a, d, e, f, g, h, i), 50 μm (b, c)

547




Fig 2. Expression of 1LX1, DIx-5 and FLLX in fetal bovine liver, in the 1⁻⁴ trimester (69 days) (a, b, c), 2^{--4} trimester (114 days) (d, e, f), 3^{rd} trimester (227days) (g, h, i), Statistical graph of TLX1, DIx-5 and HLX staining intensity (k). *Red arrow:* Hepatocytes, Ah: Arteria hepatica, V: Vena interlobularis, Db: Ductus biliferi. Scale Bar: 25 µm (a, b, d, f, h, i), 50 µm (c, e, g, h)

the first trimester and moderate during the second and third trimesters. Immunoreactions in some vena interlobularis were weak any during the first and third trimesters and moderate during the second trimester. On the other hand, immunoreactivity in the intrahepatic bile ducts was weak during the first trimester and strong during the second and third trimesters (*Fig. 1-a,d,g*). Immunoreactions were weak observed for HOXA11 in the hepatic artery and intrahepatic bile ducts throughout the three trimesters of gestation. Furthermore, immunoreactions in the vena interlobularis were weak during the first and second trimesters and moderate during the third trimester (*Fig.* 1-b,e,h). HOXB6 immunoreactivity in the hepatic artery was weak throughout gestation, but relatively stronger during the last trimester compared to the first and second trimesters. On the other hand, in some vena interlobularis and intrahepatic bile ducts, immunoreactions were weak any during the first and third trimesters, and stronger during the second trimester (*Fig.* 1-c,f,i). Furthermore, immunoreactions for HOXA11 and HOXB6 in the lymphocytes were strong during the first trimester of gestation (*Fig.* 1-b,c).




Fig 3. Positive expression of HOXA10, HOXA11, Dlx-5 proteins in testis, HOXB6, TLX1 and HLX proteins in uterine tissue. Negative expression of HOXA10, HOXA11, Dlx-5, HOXB6, TLX1 and HLX proteins in fetal bovine liver

During all three trimesters, the intensity of immunoreactions for TLX1 in the hepatocytes ranged from moderate to strong. On the other hand, immunoreactivity in some hepatic artery and intrahepatic bile ducts was weak any throughout gestation. In the vena interlobularis, immunoreactions ranged from weak to moderate during the third trimester, and were relatively stronger in intensity compared to the first and second trimesters (Fig. 2-a,d,g). In the hepatocytes, immunoreactions for Dlx-5 were of moderate intensity during the second and third trimesters, and were stronger during the first trimester. On the other hand, Dlx-5 immunoreactions were moderate to strong in some hepatic artery and intrahepatic bile ducts during all three trimesters (P<0.05), but were weak any in the vena interlobularis (Fig. 2-b,e,h). While the HLX protein induced strong immunoreactions in the hepatocytes during the first trimester (P<0.05), immunoreactivity was weak any and even negative in some hepatocytes during the second and third trimesters. Immunoreactions for HLX were weak any in the hepatic artery throughout gestation. Furthermore, immunoreactions in the vena interlobularis and intrahepatic bile ducts were weak during the second

and third trimesters, but were relatively stronger during the first trimester (*Fig. 2-c,f,i*).

The accuracy of the staining was confirmed by the use of positive controls (bovine uterine and feline testicular tissues) and negative controls (*Fig. 3*).

DISCUSSION

Homeobox proteins are critical to the identity of the various structures/tissues localized to the anterior-posterior axis of the developing embryo, as well as to organogenesis and cell differentiation ^[23,24]. Known to be expressed during the very early period of mammalian development, Hox proteins have also been observed in all three embryonic germ layers (and are of ectodermal origin in the nervous system, mesodermal origin in the genitourinary system and endodermal origin in the digestive system), and have been reported to undertake critical roles in these layers ^[25]. Research has shown that some homeobox proteins (Hex) undertake basic roles in endodermal organs, such as the thyroid gland and liver ^[26]. The present study demonstrated both the expression and the localization of the investigated

homeobox proteins in the bovine fetal liver during gestation and revealed that expression showed relative differences with gestational stage. Thus, in agreement with previous research on homeobox proteins ^[20], the present study demonstrated that HOXA10, HOXA11, HOXB6, TLX1, Dlx-5 and HLX could play major roles in the morphogenesis and cell differentiation of the bovine fetal liver during gestation.

Depending on the chromosomal position of their encoding genes, the proteins HOXA10 and HOXA11 have been indicated to be expressed along the paramesonephric canal in the human fetus. Based on this information, these proteins have been reported to be involved in embryonic development and to affect uterine development and differentiation ^[27]. Several studies have shown that these particular proteins may have normal functional roles in the female genital system of mice as well as in skeletal and renal tissue development ^[28], the uterus of rats ^[29], humans ^[30], monkeys ^[31] and pigs ^[32], and the bovine placenta and feline testis [33,34]. On the other hand, these proteins have also been reported to be involved in the formation and progression of tumors in humans, such that in particular HOXA10 has been indicated to be present at levels higher than that of hepatocytes in hepatic cell cancer [11,35,36]. Furthermore, it has been determined that, apart from being expressed in normal hepatic tissue ^[12], HOXA11 also affects hepatocyte carcinoma and aids in the proliferation and invasion of these cancer cells ^[35]. In line with these studies, it has been determined that HOXA10 and HOXA11 are found in adult liver. However, Cauwelier and Speleman^[12] and Yu et al.^[35] have revealed that these proteins are expressed in the adult liver. In parallel with this report, the present study demonstrated that the homeobox proteins HOXA10 and HOXA11 are expressed in some cells and structures of the bovine fetal liver throughout gestation. While expression was determined to be strong and at similar levels in the hepatocytes during all three trimesters of gestation, it was ascertained that the expression of HOXA10 was stronger in the bile ducts during the second and third trimesters of gestation (P<0.05). In the hepatic artery, the expression of HOXA10 was relatively stronger than that of HOXA11, and occurred at stronger intensities during the second and third trimesters (P>0.005). The findings of the present study suggest that these proteins may have a modulating effect in the bovine fetal liver and contribute to the development of the liver and the physiological functions of the hepatocytes (nutrient transport). Our findings also suggest the particularly major involvement of HOXA10 in the division and proliferation of vascular endothelial cells and bile duct epithelial cells. Furthermore, HOXA11 having been determined to be strongly expressed in some lymphocyte-like cells during the first trimester of gestation suggests that this protein may contribute to the

erythropoietic activity of the liver, the blood-forming organ of the fetal development period, as well as to the defense system and hematopoiesis.

In previous research, HOXB6 has been generally reported to be expressed in human hematopoietic progenitor/stem cells ^[37,38]. To our knowledge, there is no previous study on the role of HOXB6 in healthy fetal liver tissue. However, in available literature ^[39], this protein has been described as a SOX9 biomarker involved in the proliferation, differentiation and regeneration of liver progenitor cells, hepatocytes and bile duct epithelial cells in mice. Moreover, it has been reported that HOXB6 transcriptionally regulates the expression of the SOX9 biomarker, and thereby, affects the proliferation and differentiation of liver cells. In another study, it was determined that HOXB6 was expressed during the oncogenic processes of some tissues and organs (esophagus, hepatocytes) and affected the regulation of the proliferation, migration and invasion of cancer cells ^[40]. Similar to the case in the human and bovine placentae and feline testes, the present study demonstrated that HOXB6 was expressed in the bovine fetal liver, such that the expression intensity was strong in the hepatocytes, but ranged from weak to moderate in the hepatic artery, vena interlobularis and bile ducts during all three trimesters of gestation. Thus, in agreement with the findings of previous cancer research on HOXB6^[40], the present study revealed that this protein could also affect cell division, proliferation and migration in the bovine fetal liver. Similar to HOXA11, the determination of HOXB6 immunoreactivity in lymphocyte-like cells during the first trimester of gestation suggests that this protein could have a synergistic effect with HOXA11.

Although TLX1 is normally not expressed in hematopoietic cells, previous studies on TLX1 and Dlx-5 have shown that this protein is expressed in the fetal spleen and plays an important role in the development of this organ^[41]. TLX1 has been described as an oncogene, the disrupted expression levels of which are associated with T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) in humans [42]. In a previous study aimed at demonstrating the effects of TLX1 on cell differentiation and proliferation in mice, this protein was determined to be structurally expressed in fetal liver cells ^[43]. Similarly, the Dlx-5 gene encodes the transcription factors essential to embryonic and postnatal development. This protein is involved in the morphogenesis of the craniofacial structures, branchial arches, forebrain and sensory organs, postpartum homeostasis and particularly hematopoiesis, and if expressed irregularly, also in oncogenesis (cancer of the ovaries and lungs) [44]. According to the current information about the expression and presence of TLX1 and Dlx5 in the liver, it is known that these proteins have critical roles in the development of some tissues during

the embryonic period. In the present study, TLX1 induced strong immunoreactions in the hepatocytes during all three trimesters of gestation, whilst Dlx-5 induced weaker immunoreactions during the second and third trimesters. This suggests that these proteins could potentially affect the division, proliferation and physiological functions of hepatocytes. On the other hand, the expression of Dlx-5 having been observed to progressively decrease with the advance of gestation was considered to be related to the decrease in metabolic activity, division and proliferation rate of cells with gestational advance. Moreover, immunoreactions for TLX1 being scarcely any in the hepatic artery, vena interlobularis and bile ducts throughout gestation suggests that this protein has no effect on the mitotic activity of vascular endothelial cells and bile duct epithelial cells in the liver. Contrarily, immunoreactions having been observed for Dlx-5 in the bile ducts and moderate to strong in some hepatic artery throughout gestation could be interpreted as this protein contributing to angiogenesis, the division and differentiation of vascular endothelial cells and bile duct epithelial cells, as well as the production and secretion of bile.

HLX/Hlx has been reported to be expressed in mesodermal tissues, particularly the visceral mesenchyme, skeletal myoblasts, sclerotome and mesenchyme of the extremities during embryogenesis [45,46]. It has been reported that, in mice, Hlx is significantly expressed in mesodermal tissues, in particular the mesenchyme of the developing liver, gallbladder and intestines [46]. In previous research aimed at determining the functions of the Hlx gene by means of its targeted mutation in mice, it was observed that not only did the liver and intestines display anemia and hypoplasia, but also hepatocyte differentiation and liver growth were restricted ^[18]. It has also been demonstrated that while Hlx has critical roles in the development of the liver and intestines in mice, the protein sequence of mouse Hlx shows 86.5% homology to that of human HLX, which suggests that these proteins could have similar roles in both species [47]. Human research has shown that the mutation of HLX may cause various anomalies during embryonic development, including diaphragmatic hernia, short bowel and asplenia. In the present study, it was determined that HLX expression had significantly decreased during the second and third trimesters of gestation, when compared to the first trimester (P<0.05). Based on this finding, it was considered that, in parallel with the rapid division, growth and differentiation of cells during early gestation, the expression of HLX in bovine fetal liver cells was strong during this period, and this particular protein had a basic role in physiological processes. Furthermore, the decrease observed in the expression of HLX with the advance of gestation was considered to be associated with the reduced metabolic activity and decreased growth rate

of the liver with gestational advance. On the other hand, HLX immunoreactions being scarcely any in the hepatic artery, vena interlobularis and bile ducts suggested that the homeobox protein HLX had no effect on the physiological functions of these structures. Based on these results, it is suggested that, similar to the case in mice and humans, HLX is also involved in the formation and development of the bovine liver, and its deficiency may cause liver anomalies.

In conclusion, the presence of the homeobox proteins HOXA10, HOXA11, HOXB6, TLX1, Dlx-5 and HLX in the hepatocytes, some hepatic artery, vena interlobularis and bile ducts of the bovine fetal liver during all three trimesters of gestation, and the expression of these proteins at varying levels, both suggest that these proteins may have significant roles in the development and physiological activity of the bovine fetal liver. Different from previous research on homeobox proteins, which have generally focused on cancer and developmental anomalies, the present study has shown that these proteins may positively contribute to the development of organs, such as the liver, as well as to the development of cells, such as hepatocytes, vascular endothelial cells and bile duct epithelial cells, and may be found in structural components. Thereby, this study provides valuable data for future research.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The datasets during and/or analyzed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request (U. TOPALOĞLU).

FUNDING SUPPORT

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-forprofit sectors.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contributions

UT, HS and MEA planned the study, designed the experiments and helped manuscript writing; MAK and NA helped with data analyses and bioinformatics and wrote the manuscript; UT, BGS and NA collected samples and conducted laboratory process; HS and MEA analysed the statistics data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

The materials used in our study were collected from the slaughterhouses of the province of Diyarbakir, and in accordance with the regulation on the working procedures and principles of animal experimentation ethics committees in the official gazette published on February 15, 'Procedures with dead animals or tissues, slaughterhouse materials, waste fetuses' are not subject to HADYEK permission.

References

1. Zhang S, Chen W, Zhu C: Liver structure. **In**, Li L (Ed): Artificial Liver. 21-47, Springer, Switzerland, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-15-5984-6_2

2. Aydın S, Tekelioğlu Y, Odacı E, Arvas A, Arvas H: Flow cytometric and light microscopic investigation of human fetal liver. *Turkiye Klinikleri J Med Sci*, 20, 57-65, 2000.

3. Mazzarello V, Delrio AN, Tedde Piras A: Structural and ultrastructural pattern of the embriyonic and early foetal human liver. *Boll Soc Ital Biol Sper*, 68 (5): 285-91, 1992.

4. Akiyoshi H, Inoue AM: Comparative histological study of hepatic architecture in the three orders amphibian livers. *Comp Hepatol*, 11 (1):2, 2012. DOI: 10.1186/1476-5926-11-2

5. Trefts E, Gannon M, Wasserman DH: The liver. *Curr Biol*, 27 (21): 1147-1151, 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.09.019

6. Naik KS, Lokanadham S, Gurushanthaiah M: Different gestational age related of human föetal liver. *Int J Anat Res*, 1, 7408-7411, 2020. DOI: 10.16965/ijar.2020.115

7. Holland PWH: Evolution of homeobox genes. *Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol*, 2 (1): 31-45, 2013. DOI: 10.1002/wdev.78

8. Mizuta I, Ogasawara N, Yoshikawa H, Sakoyama Y: Identification of homeobox genes expressed during the process of rat liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy. *Biochem Genet*, 34 (1-2): 1-15, 1996. DOI: 10.1007/ bf02396236

9. Montavon T, Soshnikova N: *Hox* gene regulation and timing in embryogenesis. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*, 34, 76-84, 2014. DOI: 10.1016/j. semcdb.2014.06.005

10. Shao M, Yang Q, Zhu W, Jin H, Wang J, Song J, Kong Y, Lv X: Lnc HOXA10 drives liver TICs self-renewal and tumorigenesis via HOXA10 transcription activation. *Mol Cancer*, 17:173, 2018. DOI: 10.1186/s12943-018-0921-y

11. Zhang Y, Chen J, Wu SS, Lv MJ, Yu YS, Tang ZH, Chen XH, Zhang GQ: HOXA10 knockdown inhibits proliferation, induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in hepatocellular carcinoma cells through HDAC. *Cancer Manag Res*, 11, 7065-7076, 2019. DOI: 10.2147/CMAR.S199239

12. Cauwelier B, Speleman F: HOXA11 (homeobox A11). *Atlas Genet Cytogenet Oncol Haematol*, 10 (4): 234-235, 2006.

13. Shen W, Chrobak D, Krishnan K, Lawrence HF, Largman C: HOXB6 protein is bound to CREB-binding protein and represses globin expression in a DNA binding-dependent, PBX interaction-independent process. *J Biol Chem*, 279 (38): 39895-39904, 2004. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M404132200

14. Amesse LS, Moulton R, Zhang YM, Pfaff-Amesse T: Expression of HOX gene products in normal and abnormal trophoblastic tissue. *Gynecol Oncol*, 90 (3): 512-518, 2003. DOI: 10.1016/s0090-8258(03)00357-3

15. Riz I, Akimov SS, Eaker SS, Baxter KK, Lee HJ, Mariño-Ramírez L, Landsman D, Hawley TS, Hawley RG: TLX1/HOX11-induced hematopoietic differentiation blockade. *Oncogene*, 26 (28): 4115-4123, 2007. DOI: 10.1038/ sj.onc.1210185

16. Samee N, Geoffroy V, Marty C, Schiltz C, Vieux-Rochas M, Levi G, de Vernejoul MC: Dlx5, a positive regulator of osteoblastogenesis, is essential for osteoblast-osteoclast coupling. *Am J Pathol*, 173 (3): 773-80, 2008. DOI: 10.2353/ajpath.2008.080243

17. Tan Y, Cheung M, Pei J, Menges CW, Godwin AK, Testa JR: Upregulation of DLX5 promotes ovarian cancer cell proliferation by enhancing IRS-2-AKT signaling. *Cancer Res*, 70 (22): 9197-9206, 2010. DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-10-1568

18. Hentsch B, Lyons I, Li R, Hartley L, Lints TJ, Adams JM, Harvey RP: Hlx homeo box gene is essential for an inductive tissue interaction that drives expansion of embryonic liver and gut. *Genes Dev*, 10 (1): 70-9, 1996. DOI: 10.1101/gad.10.1.70

19. Farrell SA, Sodhi S, Marshall CR, Guerin A, Slavotinek A, Paton

T, Chong K, Sirkin WL, Scherer SW, Bérubé-Simard FA, Pilon N: HLX is a candidate gene for a pattern of anomalies associated with congenital diaphragmatic hernia, short bowel, and asplenia. *Am J Med Genet A*, 173 (11): 3070-3074, 2017. DOI: 10.1002/ajmg.a.38354

20. Zong Y, Friedman JR: Liver development. In, Suchy FJ, Sokol RJ, Balistreri WF (Eds): Pathophysiology of pediatric liver disease. 4th ed., 1-9, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.

21. Harris RM, Synder BG, Meyer RM: The relationship of bovine crown rump measurement to fetal age. *Agri Practice*, 16-22, 1983.

22. Sağsöz H, Liman N, Güney Saruhan B, Akbalık ME, Ketani MA, **Topaloğlu U:** Expression and localisation of epidermal growth factor receptors and their ligands in the lower genital tract of cycling cows. *Reprod Fertil Dev*, 31 (11): 1692-1706, 2019. DOI: 10.1071/RD18179

23. Alexander TB, Krumlauf R: Mammalian embryo: *Hox* genes. *eLS*, 1 (8): 1-5, 2009. DOI: 10.1002/9780470015902.a0000740.pub2

24. Parrish M, Nolte C, Krumlauf R: Hox Genes Expression. **In**, Squire LR (Ed): Encyclopedia of Neurosc. 1221-1231, Academic Press, San Diego, 2009.

25. Parker HJ: Mammalian embryo: *HOX* genes. *eLS*, 1 (9): 1-15, 2020. DOI: 10.1002/9780470015902.a0000740.pub4

26. Martinez-Barbera JP, Clements M, Thomas P, Rodriguez T, Meloy D, Kioussis D, Beddington RS: The homeobox gene Hex is required in definitive endodermal tissues for normal forebrain, liver and thyroid formation. *Development*, 127 (11): 2433-2445, 2000. DOI: 10.1242/dev. 127.11.2433

27. Xu B, Geerts D, Bu Z, Ai J, Jin L, Li Y, Zhang H, Zhu G: Regulation of endometrial receptivity by the highly expressed HOXA9, HOXA11 and HOXD10 hox-class homeobox genes. *Hum Reprod*, 29 (4): 781-790, 2014. DOI: 10.1093/humrep/deu004

28. Zhao Y, Potter SS: Functional comparison of the *Hoxa 4*, *Hoxa 10*, and *Hoxa 11* homeoboxes. *Dev Biol*, 244 (1): 21-36, 2002. DOI: 10.1006/ dbio.2002.0595

29. Scotti M, Kmita M: Recruitment of 5' Hoxa genes in the allantois is essential for proper extra-embryonic function in placental mammals. *Development*, 139 (4): 731-739, 2012. DOI: 10.1242/dev.075408

30. Gui Y, Zhang J, Yuan L, Lessey BA: Regulation of HOXA-10 and its expression in normal and abnormal endometrium. *Mol Hum Reprod*, 5 (9): 866-873, 1999. DOI: 10.1093/molehr/5.9.866

31. Godbole GB, Modi DN, Puri CP: Regulation of homeobox a10 expression in the primate endometrium by progesterone and embryonic stimuli. *Reproduction*, 134 (3): 513-523, 2007. DOI: 10.1530/REP-07-0234

32. Blitek A, Kiewisz J, Wacławik A, Kaczmarek MM, Ziecik AJ: Effect of steroids on HOXA10 mRNA and protein expression and prostaglandin production in the porcine endometrium. *J Reprod Dev*, 56 (6): 643-648, 2010. DOI: 10.1262/jrd.10-046k

33. Topaloğlu U, Ketani MA: The distribution of some homeobox proteins in the bovine placenta during gestation. *Theriogenology*, 166: 71-82, 2021. DOI: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2021.02.015

34. Topaloğlu U, Akbalık ME, Sağsöz H: Immunolocalization of some HOX proteins in immature and mature feline testes. *Anat Histol Embryol*, 50 (4): 726-735, 2021. DOI: 10.1111/ahe.12716

35. Yu J, Hong JF, Kang J, Liao LH, Li CD: Promotion of LncRNA HOXA11-AS on the proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma by regulating the expression of LATS1. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*, 15: 3402-3411, 2017. PubMed PMID: 28829501

36. Cillo C, Schiavo G, Cantile M, Bihl MP, Sorrentino P, Carafa V, D'Armiento M, Roncalli M, Sansano S, Vecchione R, Tornillo L, Mori L, Libero GD, Zucman-Rossi J, Terracciano L: The HOX gene network in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int J Cancer*, 129 (11): 2577-2587, 2011. DOI: 10.1002/ijc.25941

37. Bhatlekar S, Fields JZ, Boman BM: Role of HOX genes in stem cell differentiation and cancer. *Stem Cells Int*, 22:3569493, 2018. DOI: 10.1155/2018/3569493

38. Brotto DB, Siena ADD, De-Barros II, Silva-Carvalho SC, Muys BR, Goedert L, Cardoso C, Placa JR, Ramão A, Squire JA, Araujo LF, da

Silva WA: Contributions of HOX genes to cancer hallmarks: Enrichment pathway analysis and review. *Tumour Biol*, 42 (5): 1-16, 2020. DOI: 10.1177/1010428320918050

39. Yan Y, Wang R, Hu X, Wang S, Zhang L, Hou C, Zhang L: MiR-126 regulates properties of SOX9⁺ liver progenitor cells during liver repair by targeting Hoxb6. *Stem Cell Reports*, 15 (3): 706-720, 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j. stemcr.2020.07.005

40. Li Y, Jiang A: ST8SIA6-AS1 promotes hepatocellular carcinoma by absorbing miR-5195-3p to regulate HOXB6. *Cancer Biol Ther*, 21 (7): 647-655, 2020. DOI: 10.1080/15384047.2020.1743150

41. Nagel S: NKL-Code in normal and aberrant hematopoiesis. *Cancers*, 13: 1961, 2021. DOI: 10.3390/cancers13081961

42. Salvati PD, Ranford PR, Ford J, Kees UR: HOX11 expression in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia is associated with T-cell phenotype. *Oncogene*, 11, 1333-1338, 1995.

43. Dixon DN, Izon DJ, Dagger S, Callow MJ, Taplin RH, Kees UR,

Greene WK: TLX1/HOX11 transcription factor inhibits differentiation and promotes a non-haemopoietic phenotype in murine bone marrow cells. *Br J Haematol*, 138, 54-67, 2007. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2007.06626.x

44. Tan Y, Testa JR: *DLX* genes: Roles in development and cancer. *Cancers* (*Basel*), 13 (12): 3005, 2021. DOI: 10.3390/cancers13123005

45. Allen JD, Lints T, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG, Strasser A, Harvey RP, Adams JM: Novel murine homeo box gene on chromosome 1 expressed in specific hematopoietic lineages and during embryogenesis. *Genes Dev*, 5 (4): 509-20, 1991. DOI: 10.1101/gad.5.4.509

46. Lints TJ, Hartley L, Parsons LM, Harvey RP: Mesoderm-specific expression of the divergent homeobox gene Hlx during murine embryogenesis. *Dev Dyn*, 205 (4): 457-70, 1996. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(199604)205:4<457::AID-AJA9>3.0.CO;2-H

47. Bates MD, Schatzman LC, Lints T, Hamlin PE, Harvey RP, Potter SS: Structural and functional characterization of the mouse *Hlx* homeobox gene. *Mamm Genome*, 11: 836-842, 2000. DOI: 10.1007/s003350010179