Investigation of Relationships between DNA Integrity and Fresh Semen Parameters in Rams^[1]

Selim ALÇAY ¹ Sana Zekariya NUR ¹

Berk TOKER ¹ Hakan SAĞIRKAYA ¹

Burcu ÜSTÜNER¹ Mustafa Kemal SOYLU¹

¹ Uludağ Üniversitesi, Veteriner Fakültesi, Dölerme ve Suni Tohumlama Anabilim Dalı, TR-16059 Görükle, Bursa - TÜRKİYE

Makale Kodu (Article Code): KVFD-2014-11144

Summary

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the correlation between the routine semen analyses and sperm DNA integrity, as assesed by TUNEL in fresh semen of rams in Kivircik and Awassi breeds in breeding season. Semen was collected by electro-ejaculation five times every other day. For that purpose, 50 fresh ejaculates from 6 Kivircik and 4 Awassi rams were evaluated. The mean percentages of semen volume, concentration, mass activity, motility, acrosomal defects, HOST and DNA fragmentation of Kivircik and Awassi rams were 1.3 ml, 1.9×109 , 3.3 (0-5 scale), 72.6%, 13.2%, 73.6%, 16.2% and 1.0 ml, 1.9×109 , 3.3 (0-5 scale), 72.5%, 11.1%, 77.9%, 15.9%; respectively (P>0.05). Sperm DNA fragmentation was correlated adversely with semen volume (r = -0.329, P<0.05), concentration (r = -0.188, P>0.05), mass activity (r = -0.349, P<0.05), motility (r = -0.448, P<0.01), acrosomal defects (r = -0.103, P>0.05) and HOS test (r = -0.513, P<0.01). This study provided clear evidence that most of the parameters evaluated herein related to DNA fragmentation at one point or the other. Hence, DNA structure evaluation of sperm might be a useful tool for accurate prediction of the male fertility in individual rams.

Keywords: Ram, Fresh semen, DNA fragmentation

Koçlarda Taze Sperma Parametreleri ve DNA Bütünlüğü Arasındaki İlişkilerin Araştırılması

Özet

Bu çalışmanın amacı, Kıvırcık ve İvesi ırkı koçlardan alınan spermaların, sezon içerisinde rutin sperma analizleri ve TUNEL ile yapılan sperm DNA bütünlüğü arasındaki korelasyonu değerlendirmektir. Sperma elektro-ejakülasyon yöntemiyle 5 kez ve birer gün aralıklarla alındı. Bu amaçla; 6 baş Kıvırcık ve 4 baş İvesi ırkı koçtan alınan 50 ejakülat değerlendirildi. Kıvırcık ve İvesi ırkı koçların ortalama sperma hacmi, konsantrasyonu, mass aktivitesi, motilitesi, akrozomal bozukluğu, HOST ve DNA fragmantasyonu yüzdeleri sırasıyla 1.3 ml, 1.9 x109, 3.3 (0-5 skala), %72.6, %13.2, %73.6, %16.2 ve 1.0 ml, 1.9 x109, 3.3 (0-5 skala), %72.5, %11.1, %77.9 ve %15.9 olarak bulundu. Sperm DNA fragmantasyonu; sperma hacmi (r = -0.329, P<0.05), sperma konsantrasyonu (r = -0.188, P>0.05), mass aktivite (r = -0.349, P<0.05), motilite (r = -0.448, P<0.01), akrozomal bozukluk (r = -0.103, P>0.05) ve HOS testi (r= -0.513, P<0.01) ile negatif korelasyon gösterdi. Bu çalışma, değerlendirilen çoğu parametrenin DNA fragmantasyonu ile bağlantılı olduğunu açıkça gösterdi. Dolayısıyla, DNA yapısının incelenmesi her bir koç ejakülatının fertilitesinin doğru olarak tespit edilmesi konusunda faydalı olabilir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Koç, Taze sperma, DNA fragmantasyonu

INTRODUCTION

In livestock breeding, the impact of male infertility upon the reproductive efficiency of farms is high, since a male animal can serve a large number of females, either by artificial insemination or by mating. Therefore, male infertility is observed commonly as increased return to oestrus rate or decreased lambing rate in ewes ^[1]. The widespread use of artificial insemination in domestic

+90 224 2941356

⊠ salcay@uludag.edu.tr

animals has encouraged the development of laboratory tests that accurately predict the individual fertility of rams.

In order to assess the potential fertility of rams, semen evaluation, complementary to the clinical examination, is useful ^[2,3]. Semen quality and its relationship to fertility are of major concern in animal production. The fertilization

iletişim (Correspondence)

process involves complex biochemical and physiological events that cannot be measured solely by routine semen evaluation. The general methods for evaluation of semen quality have been primarily based on routine semen analyses (i.e., motility, morphology and acrosomal integrity), however such routine examinations have a narrow capacity for predicting the potential fertility of a given ejaculate ^[4-7]. Numerous studies were conducted on semen freezing ^[8-11], and rutine ram semen evaluation ^[7,12,13]. Also most of the studies explain the routine semen parameters and DNA integrty in man ^[14-16], especially in patients with miscarriage history. However, there appears no study available on the relation between rutine semen parameter and DNA integrity of fresh semen in rams.

Evaluation of sperm DNA damage can satisfy the expectations on the prediction of the outcome of assisted reproductive techniques (ART) than conventional sperm parameters. The most commonly used tests to measure sperm DNA damage are the terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay and the sperm chromatin structure assay (SCSA). The TUNEL assay measures both single- and double-strand DNA integrity, measures a definitive end point (presence of free 3 = hydroxyl groups), and can provide more meaningful information on the implantation potential of an embryo.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the correlation between routine semen analyses and sperm DNA integrity as assesed by TUNEL in fresh semen of rams in Kivircik and Awassi breeds in breeding season.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Chemicals

PBS tablets and poly-L-lysine were purchased from Sigma (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA). Triton X-100 (10% stock solution) (11332481001) and an *In Situ* Cell Death Detection Kit were purchased from Roche (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Proteinase K (003011) and antibody diluents were purchased from Zymed (Zymed, San Francisco, California, USA). Bovine anti-rabbit fluorescein (FITC) (Sc: 2365) and mounting medium (Sc: 24941) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA).

Semen Collection and Preparation

A total of ten rams (6 Kivircik and 4 Awassi breeds) aged 3-5 years kept at Uludag University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine in Bursa, Turkey, were used during the breeding season. Semen was collected five times every other day by an electro-ejaculation with 12 cm probe length, 2.5 cm in diameter and 12 V (Ruakura Ram Probe Plastic Products, Hamilton, New Zealand) ^[17]. To collect semen, rams were restrained physically and a lubricated probe was inserted into the rectum with downward pressure upon the front

of the probe, so the electrodes rested on the upper portion of the ampullary region. An electrical stimulation was applied for 4-8 sec. The electrostimulation was stopped briefly (3-4 sec) while further massage was applied with the probe. This cycle was repeated until a 1-2 ml of semen sample was collected (usually 3-4 electrostimulations). After the collection, each ejaculate was placed in a warm water bath (30°C) and immediately assessed for the volume, concentration, mass activity (0-5 scale), motile spermatozoa (zero to 100%), acrosomal defects, plasma membrane integrity, and DNA fragmentation rates (%).

Semen Evaluation

All semen parameters were measured by the same person throughout the study. Sperm motility was evaluated subjectively using a phase-contrast microscope (Olympus BX 51) (400x) on a warm slide (38°C) ^[17].

Fluorescein Lectin Staining Assay (Florescein Isocyanateconjugated Pisum Sativum Agglutinin [FITC-PSA]): Acrosomal integrity was assessed by using FITC-conjugated PSA^[9]. Briefly, 20 µl of diluted semen was re-suspended in 500 µl PBS and centrifuged at 2.000 rpm for 20 min; the supernatant was then discarded. The spermatozoa pellet was re-suspended in 250 µl PBS. One drop of resuspended spermatozoa was smeared on a glass microscope slide and dried in the air. Air-dried slides were fixed with acetone at 4°C for 10 min, and the slides were covered with FITC PSA solution (50 µg/ml in PBS solution) in the dark for 30 min. Stained slides were rinsed with PBS solution, covered with glycerol, and examined under a fluorescence microscope. At least 100 spermatozoa per smear were evaluated for acrosomal integrity.

Hypo-osmotic Swelling Test (HOST): Sperm membrane integrity was evaluated using a method as described by Nur et al.^[18] with minor modifications. The semen was submitted to HOS test. A volume of 20.0 μ l of semen was added into 1 ml of warmed hypo-osmotic swelling solution (100 mOsm/l) containing sodium citrate (25 mmol/l) and fructose (75 mmol/l) and incubated at 37°C for 60 min. Immediately after the incubation, one drop of semen was placed on a clean glass slide, covered with onother slide and assessed within 5 min under phasecontrast microscopy (400 x). For each sample, a total of 100 spermatozoa were counted per slide and the percentages of swollen and curled tailed spermatozoa were recorded.

Sperm DNA Integrity by Terminal Deoxynucleotidyl Transferase-Mediated Fluorescein-TUNEL Assay: For the TUNEL technique, we used the In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit with fluorescein (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol with slight modifications. In brief, one drop of re-suspended spermatozoa was smeared on a glass slide and fixed with 10% formaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature. The slides were washed in PBS and stored at 4°C. Upon the removal from storage, the samples were washed again in PBS (for three times, 5 min each). They were then treated in a humidified chamber with proteinase K for 10 min at room temperature, washed with PBS, treated with 3% H_2O_2 in distilled water for 10 min at room temperature and washed again with PBS. The slides were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min on ice.

The permeabilized slides were incubated in the dark at 37°C for 1 h with the TUNEL reaction mixture, that contained terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT) plus dUTP label. After the labeling, the samples were washed with PBS and analyzed immediately via fluorescence microscopy. Negative (omitting TdT from the reaction mixture) and positive (using DNase I, 1 mg/ml, for 10 min at room temperature) controls were included in each trial. At least 100 spermatozoa were evaluated for determining the percentage of TUNEL-positive sperm. Each microscopy (40x) for determining the number of reactive sperm and then under phase-contrast microscopy) for determining the total number of sperm per field.

Statistical Analyses

Data were analyzed by independent samples T test. Spearman's correlation coefficient was used to assess the relationship between sperm volume, concentration, mass activity, motility, acrosomal defects, plasma membrane integrity and DNA fragmentation (TUNEL-positive) rate. All data were analyzed using the SPSS statistical package (SPSS 20.0 for Windows; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Differences were considered significant when P<0.05.

RESULTS

The general means of the spermatological parameters in Kivircik and Awassi rams were summarized in *Table 1*. There were no significant differences in sperm volume, concentration, mass activity, motility, HOST, acrosomal defects and DNA fragmentation between the two breeds (P>0.05).

The correlation analyses between the semen parameters studied were presented in *Table 2* and *Fig. 1*. TUNEL assay demonstrated that spermatozoa with DNA fragmentation exhibited a green fluorescence (*Fig. 2*). Sperm DNA fragmentation was correlated adversely with sperm volume (P<0.05), concentration (P>0.05), mass activity (P<0.05), motility (P<0.01), acrosomal defects (P>0.05) and swollen tailed spermatozoa obtained by HOS test (P<0.01).

Also, sperm functional plasma membrane integrity (HOS test) was correlated favourably with mass activity (P<0.01) and motility (P<0.01). Furthermore, the acrosomal defects were correlated with volume (P<0.01), while mass activity was correlated favourably with volume (P<0.05) and sperm concentration (P<0.05). Besides, there was a positive relationship between sperm concentration and volume (P<0.01).

DISCUSSION

Semen quality and its relation to fertility are of major concern in animal production. Quality tests are routinely used for determining the acceptability of processed semen

Table 1. General means of the spermatological parameters in Kivircik and Awassi rams Tablo 1. Kıvırcık ve İvesi ırkı koçların ortalama spermatolojik parametre değerleri							
Rams	Volume (ml) X±Sx	Sperm Concentration (x10 ⁹) X±Sx	Mass Activity (0-5) X±Sx	Motility (%) X±Sx	Acrosomal Defects (%) X±Sx	HOST (%) X±Sx	DNA Fragmentation (%) X±Sx
Kivircik	1.34±0.16	1.90±0.17	3.31±0.19	72.59±2.24	13.16±0.93	73.59±1.75	16.19±1.87
Awassi	0.96±0.16	1.87±0.09	3.25±0.19	72.50±1.77	11.11±0.82	77.94±2.36	15.86±2.63

The values are the mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM); There was no significant difference (P>0.05)

Table 2. Correlation coefficients (r) between the results of semen characteristics and TUNEL Tablo 2. Spermatolojik değerler ve TUNEL arasındaki korelasyon katsayıları (r) Spermatological **Sperm Concentration Mass Activity** Motility Acrosomal HOST **DNA Fragmentation Parameters** (x10⁹) (0-5) Scale (%) Defects (%) (%) (%) 0.301* 0.455** Volume (ml) 0.415** 0.059 0.073 -0.329* Sperm concentration (x10⁹) 0.536* 0.222 0.098 0.183 -0.188 Mass activity (0-5) 0.702** -0.251 0.557** -0.349* Motility (%) -0.086 0.601** -0.448** Acrosomal defects (%) 0.004 -0.103 HOST (%) -0.513** Correlations are significant when * P<0.05, ** P<0.01



for breeding purposes. Thus, the accurate measurement of the quality is a major importance. The widespread semen evaluation generally includes the measurement of semen volume, sperm concentration, mass activity and the percentage of motile and morphologically normal spermatozoa ^[19]. Although some of these parameters are correlated with fertility in rams ^[7], several authors suggest that this information does not accurately predict whether a male is truly fertile ^[20,21].

The process of fertilization involves complex biochemical and physiological events that are not completely reflected in the conventional measures of concentration, motility, and morphology. Since the functional activity of the nuclear structure is crucial for the viability and fertilizing ability of spermatozoa, it is important to assess the DNA integrity of sperm ^[14]. A number of tests are currently available for the measurement of sperm DNA fragmentation. These include the TUNEL assay ^[7], the comet assay^[14] and the SCSA test^[7]. To the best of our knowledge, only very limited information is available for the correlation between semen parameters and DNA integrity in rams. In the present study, we evaluated the relationship between the routine semen analyses and sperm DNA integrity, as assesed by TUNEL in fresh Kivircik and Awassi ram semen during breeding season.

Semen collection methods, season, age and breed of rams may all affect the ejaculate volume. The mean semen volume varies between 0.6-2 ml in fertile ejaculates in different breeds ^[22]. Present study demonstrated that the general mean ejaculate volumes were 1.34 and 0.96 ml in Kivircik and Awassi rams, respectively (P>0.05).

Hafez ^[23] reported that the sperm motility is a prerequisite for sperm transportation to the fertilization area, but it is not indicative of the fertilizing ability. Also, the sperm acrosome has an effect on the fertilizing ability. Fresh ram sperm motility may vary between 70% ^[9,11] to 90% ^[22] and fresh acrosome defect may vary between 3.8% ^[11] to 6.7% ^[24] after different fixation and staining assays. The general means of motility and acrosomal defects were 72.59% vs. 13.16% and 72.50% vs. 11.11% in Kivircik and Awassi rams, respectively. The increasing rates of acrosomal defects may be related to the staining of assay. There are no studies documented on fresh ram semen about the acrosomal defects evaluated by FITC-PSA staining assay.

Sperm membrane integrity is a crucial parameter for the evaluation of sperm quality, because the intact plasma membrane is an essential borderline for survival of sperm cell ^[25]. Hypo-osmotic swelling test has recently been shown to be useful in detecting subtle changes in the functional integrity of ram sperm membranes ^[26]. Ollero et al.^[27] reported that fresh semen exhibited 72% HOS test response. Similar results were also obtained for both breeds herein.

DNA damage may originate from improper packaging and ligation during spermatogenesis and epididymal sperm maturation ^[28]. The ram sperm DNA has the most degredation response under the similar experimental conditions when compared to other mammalian species ^[29]. Therefore, the evaluation of individual fresh semen DNA integrity could give important information related to the fertilizing ability. Therefore, we used TUNEL assay to determine the DNA integrity. Nur at al.^[7] reported that the mean percentage of spermatozoa with damaged DNA were 1.8% in Tris diluted ram semen. In men, the fertile percentage of fresh spermatozoa with damaged DNA was 12.9 % while in infertil men it was 48.8% obtained with commet assay ^[14]. Semen collection time, breeding season, ejaculation frequency, sexual arrest, age, breed, body condition and nutritional regime may all have an effect on semen quality and fertility ^[12,30]. The mean percentages of TUNEL positive spermatozoa were 16.19% and 15.86% in Kivircik and Awassi breeds, respectively.

Comparation of the semen parameters studied revealed that most of the parameters correlated to other paramaters at one point or the other. In this study, swollen tailed spermermatozoa corelated to motility (r: 0.601) and mass activity (r: 0.557). These findings are not suprising because the motility partly depends on transport of compounds across the membrane of spermatozoa ^[13]. Similar findings have been reported for sperm motility and HOST values earlier ^[13,31].

There exist remarkable numbers of motile spermatozoa from fertile donors containing fragmented DNA [32,33]. The degree of DNA damage in sperm cells leads to impairment of fertilization, embryo development [34-36], and reduced chance of producing live offspring ^[37-39]. The energy source of motilty that plays critical roles for sperm to reach to the fertilization site is provided by mitochondria, as controlled by sperm nucleus ^[40]. The failure of nuclear integrity also affects the sperm motility. In our study, the relationship between motilty and DNA integrity supports our theory. These relationships also present for the sperm plasma membrane functional integrity and the mass activity. There is an increasing interest in the use of DNA integrity related assays as a predictor of fertility potential ^[7]. A previous study showed that there is a positive relationship between sperm motility and DNA damage ^[41]. However, a markedly inverse correlation has been found between sperm motility and DNA integrity (r: -0.448, P<0.01). These findings were in agreement with results reported by Piasecka et al.^[42] and Sheikh et al.^[14]. Undoubtedly, poor-quality semen has a greater percentage of spermatozoa with DNA fragmentation than that of superior quality semen [32,33].

Functional integrity of sperm plasma membrane is a sign of healthy substance exchange needed for the viability of cells. The percentages of spermatozoa with damaged plasma membrane were higher than those sperm with DNA defects in both breeeds. Balasuriya et al.^[15] reported that the sperm plasma membrane damage was higher than that of nuclear damage. It is expected that, the higher motility rates can be found in those spermatozoa that have solid membranes ^[43]. This study showed that the functional membrane integrity of sperm has a strict relationship with the motility. This condition was also proved in the earlier

studies ^[18]. The increase in failure of the plasma membrane integrity results in a decrease in DNA integrity ^[44]. Sperm DNA fragmentation rate was correlated adversely with functionally active sperm population (P<0.01). However, according to Fatehi et al.^[45], the cells with DNA damage did not show signs of functionally affected integrity of membranes and motility.

In summary, we have demostrated that sperm DNA fragmentation correlated unfavourably with the ejaculate volume (P<0.05), mass activity (P<0.05), motility (P<0.01), and HOST values (P<0.01). Also, there were positive correlations among HOST values, motility and mass activity (P<0.01). According to the results, we can conclude that testing DNA damage, in addition to standard methods may be a useful tool for the accurate prediction of the fertility in ram semen.

REFERENCES

1. Tsakmakidis IA: Ram semen evaluation: Development and efficiency of modern techniques. *Small Rumin Res*, 92, 126-130, 2010.

2. Ganter M: Veterinary consultancy and health schemes in sheep: Experiences and reflections from a local German outlook. *Small Rumin Res*, 76, 55-67, 2008.

3. Farquharson B: A whole farm approach to planned animal health and production for sheep clients in Australia. *Small Rumin Res*, 86, 26-29, 2009.

4. Kasimanickam R, Pelzer KD, Kasimanickam V, Swecker WS, Thatcher CD: Association of classical semen parameters, sperm DNA fragmentation index, lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzymatic activity of semen in ram-lambs. *Theriogenology*, 65, 1407-1421, 2006.

5. Check JH, Katsoff D, Check ML, Choe JK, Swenson K: In vitro fertilization with intracytoplasmic sperm injection is an effective therapy for male factor infertility related to subnormal hypo-osmotic swelling test scores. J Androl, 22, 261-265, 2001.

6. Tartagni M, Schonauer MM, Cicinelli E, Selman H, Ziegler D, Petruzzelli F, D'addario V: Usefulness of the hypo-osmotic swelling test in predicting pregnancy rate and outcome in couples undergoing intrauterine insemination. *J Androl*, 23, 498-502, 2002.

7. Nur Z, Zık B, Ustuner B, Sagirkaya H, Ozguden CG: Effects of different cryoprotective agents on ram sperm morphology and DNA integrity. *Theriogenology*, 73, 1267-1275, 2010.

8. Ustuner B, Alcay S, Nur Z, Sagirkaya H, Soylu MK: Effect of egg yolk and soybean lecithin on tris-based extender in post-thaw ram semen quality and *in vitro* fertility. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 20 (3): 393-398, 2013. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2013.10248

9. Nur Z, Zık B, Ustuner B, Tutuncu S, Sagırkaya H, Ozguden CG, Gunay U, Dogan I: Effect of freezing rate on acrosome and chromatin integrity in ram semen. *Ankara Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 58, 267-272, 2011.

10. Romão R, Marques CC, Baptista MC, Vasques MI, Barbas JP, Horta AEM, Carolino N, Bettencourt E, Plancha C, Rodrigues P, Pereira RM: Evaluation of two methods of *in vitro* production of ovine embryos using fresh or cryopreserved semen. *Small Rumin Res*, 110, 36-41, 2013.

11. Alcay S, Soylu MK, Üstüner B: The effect of bull and trout seminal plasma on ram semen cryopreservation. *Erciyes Üniv Vet Fak Derg*, 10, 7-14, 2013.

12. Oztürkler Y, Ak K, İleri İK: Kıvırcık koçlarında donma ve eritme sonrası spermatolojik özellikler üzerine mevsimin etkisi. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 3, 73-79, 1997.

13. Nur Z, Dogan I, Gunay U, Soylu MK: Relationships between sperm membrane integrity and other semen quality characteristics of the semen of Saanen goat bucks. *Bull Vet Inst Pulawy*, 49, 183-187, 2005.

14. Sheikh N, Amiri I, Farimani M, Najafil R, Hadeie J: Correlation between sperm parameters and sperm DNA fragmentation in fertile and infertile men. *Iranian J Reprod Med*, 6, 13-18, 2008.

15. Balasuriya A, Serhal P, Doshi A, Harper JC: Processes involved in assisted reproduction technologies significantly increase sperm DNA fragmentation and phosphatidylserine translocation. *Andrologia*, 46, 86-97, 2014.

16. Stanger JD, Vo L, Yovich JL, Almahbobi G: Hypo-osmotic swelling test identifies individual spermatozoa with minimal DNA fragmentation. *Reprod Biomed Online*, 21, 474-484, 2010.

17. Soylu MK, Nur Z, Ustuner B, Dogan I, Sagirkaya H, Gunay U, Ak K: Effects of various cryoprotective agents and extender osmolality on post-thaw ram semen. *Bull Vet Inst Pulawy*, 51, 241-246, 2007.

18. Nur Z, Dogan I, Soylu MK, Ak K: Effect of different procedures on the quality of bull semen. *Revue Med Vet*, 154, 487-490, 2003.

19. Gadea J: Sperm factors related to *in vitro* and *in vivo* porcine fertility. *Theriogenology*, 63, 431-444, 2005.

20. Brahmkshtri BP, Edwin MJ, John MC, Nainar AM, Krishnan AR: Relative efficacy of conventional sperm parameters and sperm penetration bioassay to assess bull fertility *in vitro. Anim Reprod Sci*, 54, 159-168, 1999.

21. Zhang BR, Larsson B, Lundeheim N, Rodriguez-Martinez H: Sperm characteristics and zona pellucida binding in relation to field fertility of frozen-thawed semen from dairy AI bulls. *J Androl*, 21, 207-216, 1998.

22. Ak K: Koyunlarda reprodüksiyon ve sun'i tohumlama. **In,** İleri İK, Ak K, Pabuççuoğlu S, Birler S (Eds): Evcil Hayvanlarda Reprodüksiyon ve Suni Tohumlama. 189-205, Masaüstü Yayımcılık, İstanbul, 2000.

23. Hafez ESE: Reproduction in Farm Animals. 6th ed., 165-187, Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, 1993.

24. Bacinoğlu S, Cirit U, Nur Z, Ak K: Eritilmiş koç spermasında farklı gliserol katma tekniklerinin ve soğutma hızının spermatolojik özelliklere etkisi. *İstanbul Üniv Vet Fak Derg*, 33, 11-21, 2007.

25. Makarevich AV, Kubovicova E, Sirotkin AV, Pivko J: Demonstration of the effect of epidermal growth factor on ram sperm parameters using two fluorescent assays. *Veterinarni Medicina*, 55, 581-589, 2010.

26. Söderquist L, Madrid-Bury N, Rodriguez-Martinez H: Assessment of ram sperm membrane integrity following different thawing procedures. *Theriogenology*, 48, 1115-1125, 1997.

27. Ollero M, Perez-Pe R, Muiño-Blanco T, Cebrian-Perez JA: Improvement of ram sperm cryopreservation protocols assessed by sperm quality parameters and heterogeneity analysis. *Cryobiology*, **37**, 1-12, 1998.

28. Sailer BL, Jost LK, Evenson DP: Mammalian sperm DNA susceptibility to in situ denaturation associated with the presence of DNA strand breaks as measured by the terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase assay. *J Androl*, 16, 80-87, 1995.

29. Martorana K, Klooster K, Meyers S: Suprazero cooling rate, rather than freezing rate, determines post thaw quality of rhesus macaque sperm. *Theriogenology*, 81, 381-388, 2014.

30. Mathevon M, Buhr MM, Dekkers JCM: Environmental, management, and genetic factors affecting semen production in holstein bulls. *J Dairy Sci*, 12, 3321-3330, 1998.

31. Lodhi LA, Zubair M, Qureshi ZI, Ahmad I, Jamil H: Correlation between hypo-osmotic swelling test and various conventional semen evaluation parameters in fresh Nili-Ravi buffalo and Sahiwal cow bull semen. *Pakistan Vet J*, 28, 186-188, 2008.

32. Sun JG, Jurisicova A, Casper RF: Detection of deoxyribonucleic acid fragmentation in human sperm: Correlation with fertilization *in vitro. Biol Reprod*, 56, 602-607, 1997.

33. Lopes S, Jurisicova A, Casper RF: Gamete-specific DNA fragmentation in unfertilized human oocytes after intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *Human Reprod*, 13, 703-708, 1998.

34. Egozcue S, Vendrell JM, Garcia F, Veiga A, Aran B, Barri PN, Egozcue J: Increased incidence of meiotic anomalies in oligoasthenozoospermic males preselected for intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *J Assist Reprod Genet*, 17, 307-309, 2000.

35. Hargreave T: Genetically determined male infertility and assisted reproduction techniques. *J Endocrinol Invest*, 23, 697-710, 2000.

36. Shi Q, Martin RH: Aneuploidy in human sperm: a review of the frequency and distribution of aneuploidy, effects of donor age and lifestyle factors. *Cytogenet Cell Genet*, 90, 219-226, 2000.

37. Sakkas D, Manicardi G, Bizzaro D, Bianchi PG: Possible consequences of performing intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) with sperm possessing nuclear DNA damage. *Hum Fertil*, 3, 26-30, 2000.

38. Shen HM, Ong CN: Detection of oxidative DNA damage in human sperm and its association with sperm function and male infertility. *Free Radic Biol Med*, 28, 529-536, 2000.

39. Hales BF, Robaire B: Paternal exposure to drugs and environmental chemicals: effects on progeny outcome. *J Androl*, 22, 927-936, 2001.

40. Ramalho-Santos J, Varum S, Amaral S, Mota PC, Sousa AP: Mitochondrial functionality in reproduction from gonads and gametes to embryos and embryonic stem cells. *Hum Reprod Update*, 15, 553-572, 2009.

41. Pichardo AI, Aragón-Martínez A, Ayala-Escobar ME, Domínguez-Vara IA: Viability tests, active caspase-3 and -7, and chromatin structure in ram sperm selected using the swim-up procedure. *J Androl*, 31, 169-176, 2010.

42. Piasecka M, Gaczarzewicz D, Laszczyńska M, Starczewski A, Brodowska A: Flow cytometry application in the assessment of sperm DNA integrity of men with asthenozoospermia. *Folia Histochem Cytobiol*, 45, 127-136, 2007.

43. Correa JR, Pace MM, Zavos PM: Relationships among frozenthawed sperm characteristics assessed via the routine semen analysis, sperm functional tests and fertility of bulls in an artificial insemination program. *Theriogenology*, 48, 721-731, 1997.

44. Fernandez-Santos MR, Martinez-Pastor F, Garcia-Macias V, Estrso MC, Soler AJ, Paz P, Anel L, Garde JJ: Sperm characteristics and DNA integrity of Iberian red deer (*Cervus elaphus hispanicus*) epididymal spermatozoa frozen in the presence of enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidants. *J Andrology*, 28, 294-305, 2007.

45. Fatehi AN, Bevers MM, Schoevers E, Roelen BAJ, Colenbrander B, Gadella BM: DNA damage in bovine sperm does not block fertilization and early embryonic development but induces apoptosis after the first cleavages. *J Androl*, 27, 176-188, 2006.